arXiv:1607.04996v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 18 Jul 2016

Study of spin-phonon coupling and magnetic field induced spin reorientation in polycrystalline multiferroic $GdFeO_3$

Anjali Panchwanee,[1] V. Raghavendra Reddy,[1, a)] Ajay Gupta,[2] and V. G. Sathe[1]
[1)] *UGC-DAE Consortium for Scientific Research, University Campus, Khandwa Road, Indore 452001, India.*
[2)] *Amity Center for Spintronic Materials, Amity University, Noida 201303, India.*

The present work reports the preparation of polycrystalline multiferroic $GdFeO_3$ (GdFO) and characterization with x-ray diffraction (XRD), magnetization, temperature dependent Raman spectroscopy, temperature and magnetic field dependent ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy measurements. The sample is found to be phase pure from Rietveld refinement of XRD pattern. The Mössbauer spectra measured in the presence of external magnetic field show the signatures of field induced spin reorientation transition, which are corroborated by magnetization measurements. From the temperature dependent variation of internal hyperfine field, Nèel transition temperature ($T_{N,Fe}$) of 672.5±0.2 K and critical exponent (β) of 0.333±0.003 is obtained. Temperature dependent (300 - 760 K) Raman spectroscopy measurements show the signatures of spin-phonon coupling and local structural re-arrangement across $T_{N,Fe}$.

PACS numbers: 75.85.+t , 76.80.+y
Keywords: Multiferroics, Rare earth ortho-ferrites, Spin re-orientation transition, Mössbauer spectroscopy

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, multiferroic / magneto-electric (ME) materials are the subject of active research because of rich physics they exhibit and also various potential applications[1–4]. Multiferroic materials show electric and magnetic ordering simultaneously with a coupling between the two order parameters and therefore offer an extra degree of freedom in device design[1–4]. In order to have considerable ME coupling it is reported that magnetism induced polarization is more favorable[1–3]. Rare-earth ortho-ferrites ($RFeO_3$ with R being rare-earth ion) comes under this category of multiferroic materials[4].

In general, $RFeO_3$ compounds crystallize in orthorhombic distorted (ABO_3) perovskite structure with centro-symmetric space group $Pbnm$ and exhibits Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+}, R^{3+}- Fe^{3+} and R^{3+}- R^{3+} magnetic exchange interactions resulting in various magnetic transitions viz., paramagnetic to antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering of Fe^{3+} ions (Nèel transition ($T_{N,Fe}$)), spin-reorientation transition of Fe^{3+} ions ($T_{SRT,Fe}$) and magnetic ordering of rare-earth ions[5,6]. In almost all of $RFe0_3$ compounds, the weak ferromagnetic moment reorients from the orthorhombic a axis at low temperatures to the c axis at high temperatures. This temperature induced spin flop is known as spin-reorientation transition (SRT) i.e., a transition in which Fe^{3+} spins reorient from one crystallographic axis to another. For example, $DyFeO_3$ exhibits paramagnetic to weak ferromagnetic transition corresponding to Fe^{3+} at about 645 K, SRT of Fe^{3+} spins from canted AFM to collinear AFM state at about 62 K below which the weak ferromagnetism disappears and finally AFM ordering of Dy^{3+} at low temperatures ($\approx$ 4 K)[7]. Similarly (GdFO) exhibits paramagnetic to weak ferromagnetic transition corresponding to Fe^{3+} at about $T_{N,Fe}$ = 660 K and AFM ordering of Gd^{3+} below 2.5 K[7,8]. It is to be noted that temperature induced SRT is not observed in GdFO, but rather it exhibits magnetic field induced SRT phenomena and the critical field required to induce SRT is found to be temperature dependent[9].

Recently, Tokunaga et al., have reported that single crystal $GdFeO_3$ (GdFO) exhibits not only a weak ferromagnetism but also a ferroelectric ground state and demonstrated the mutual controllability of the two order parameters[8]. The appearance of FE ordering is explained in terms of the exchange interaction between the Gd and Fe spins. Similarly $DyFeO_3$ is another rare-earth ortho-ferrite which is recently reported to exhibit magnetic field induced ferroelectricity and large ME coupling at about 4 K in single crystal form. The phenomena is explained in terms of exchange-striction between adjacent Fe^{3+} and Dy^{3+} layers with the respective layered antiferromagnetic (AFM) components[10]. However, FE polarization is reported in the weak ferromagnetic region ($T_{SRT,Fe} \leq T \leq T_{N,Fe}$) of polycrystalline $DyFeO_3$ without applying external magnetic field which suggests that the rare earth magnetic ordering is not essential for FE but weak ferromagnetism is sufficient[11]. Similarly, spin-canting induced improper FE and spontaneous magnetization is reported in another rare-earth orthoferrite viz., $SmFeO_3$, whose origin is being debated[12–14]. Therefore, the role of these magnetic transitions on the occurrence of FE ordering and hence multiferroic nature in these compounds is yet to be clearly established.

Mössbauer spectroscopy is a unique technique to study different magnetic transitions such as temperature and magnetic field induced SRT phenomena[15]. But most of the Mössbauer literature deals with the study of single crystal $RFeO_3$ compounds, in which there exists a well

[a)] Electronic mail: varimalla@yahoo.com; vrreddy@csr.res.in

defined angular relation between Fe^{3+} spins and the incident gamma rays, either as a function of temperature or applied magnetic fields[9,16]. It may be noted because of the fact that there is a random distribution of Fe^{3+} spins in polycrystals, there is a possibility of missing these kind of transitions when explored using high field Mössbauer spectroscopy. Probably because of this, the high field Mössbauer spectroscopy has been used only for limited AFM polycrystals such as goethite (α-FeOOH), haematite ($\alpha - Fe_2O_3$), barium ferrite etc., to study SRT phenomena[17–19]. However, as most of recent literature on $RFeO_3$ compounds deal with the polycrystalline state of the samples[7,11], it would be required to explore the SRT phenomena in $RFeO_3$ polycrystals too using suitable techniques and in the present work we show that low temperature high magnetic field Mössbauer spectroscopy gives the signatures of SRT in polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$.

Other aspect that is being recently reported is the role of spin-phonon coupling in stabilizing the FE ordering in similar compounds i.e., rare-earth chromites / manganites ($RCrO_3$ or $RMnO_3$). For example, Ferreira et al., reported spin phonon coupling as the origin of multiferroicity in $GdMnO_3$[20]. Mandal et al., reported the spin-phonon coupling in Mn doped $YFeO_3$[21]. Bhadram et al., reported the Raman spectroscopy measurements of different orthochromites and concluded that the spin-phonon coupling is observed only for those cases in which the rare-earth ion is magnetic and concluded that spin-phonon coupling play important role in inducing FE ordering in $GdCrO_3$ type of compounds[22]. Therefore, study of phonons is expected to give the information regarding spin-phonon coupling, which has been argued to play important role in stabilizing the multiferroic ordering[20,21]. Temperature dependent Raman spectroscopy across the transition temperatures is considered to be an effective tool to probe structural transitions, spin-phonon, spin-lattice coupling etc., in different materials[20–22].

In view of the above mentioned issues, in the present work we report the preparation of polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$ (GdFO) and the study of magnetic field induced SRT using low temperature high magnetic field ^{57}Fe Mössbauer, spin-phonon coupling using temperature dependent Raman spectroscopy, bulk magnetization measurements.



FIG. 1. (a) X-ray diffraction pattern and (b) Raman spectrum with the indicated modes of polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$ (GdFO) is prepared with the conventional solid state route. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements are carried out using D8-Discover system of M/s Brucker equipped with Cu-Kα radiation. The dc-magnetization measurements were carried out using Quantum Design 14 Tesla PPMS-VSM. The ^{57}Fe Mössbauer measurements were carried out in transmission mode with a ^{57}Co (Rh) radioactive source in constant acceleration mode using a standard PC-based Mössbauer spectrometer equipped with a WissEl velocity drive. Velocity calibration of the spectrometer was carried out with a natural iron absorber at room temperature. For the low temperature and high magnetic field measurements, the sample was placed inside a Janis superconducting magnet. The direction of the external field is parallel to gamma rays. For high temperature (300 - 683 K) Mössbauer measurements, the sample, in argon gas flow, is placed inside a specially designed Mössbauer furnace (MF-1100) with a temperature accuracy of about 1^{o}C. Raman spectra were recorded in back-scattering geometry using Jobin Yvon Horibra LABRAM spectrometer using He-Ne laser of 632.8nm as the excitation source. High temperature Raman measurements were carried out in THMS600 sample stage of Linkam Scientific instruments Ltd.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figure-1(a) show the x-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the prepared GdFO sample along with Rietveld refinement. The XRD is fitted with Rietveld refinement, considering orthorhombic structure with *Pbnm* space group using FullProf software[23]. The XRD data confirms the single phase of the prepared sample and the refined lattice parameters viz., a=0.536 ± 0.001 nm, b=0.562 nm



FIG. 2. (a)Temperature dependent magnetization (M-T) data of polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$ measured under zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) protocol in a field of 500 Oe. Inset show the enlarged view of M-T data depicting magnetic ordering of Gd^{3+} ions. (b) M-H data of polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$ measured at different temperatures. Inset show the derivative of magnetization with respect to magnetic field.

and c=0.768 nm, match well with literature[7]. Figure-1(b) show the room temperature Raman spectrum of the prepared GdFO sample along with the identified Raman modes. Experimentally observed Raman modes are assigned to the corresponding phonon mode with the help of lattice dynamical calculations reported earlier[24,25]. According to the group theory, the irreducible representation for $RFeO_3$ compounds is given by $\Gamma = 7A_g + 7B_{1g} + 5B_{2g} + 5B_{3g} + 8A_{1u} + 8B_{1u} + 10B_{2u} + 10B_{3u}$ in which 24 are Raman-active modes, 28 are infrared modes and 8 are inactive modes[22,24,25]. The observed Raman modes of GdFO match closely with the recently reported Raman data of GdFO and also the other $RFeO_3$ compounds[26,27]. After confirming the single phase of the prepared sample, detailed measurements viz., temperature and magnetic field dependent bulk magnetization, ^{57}Fe Mössbauer and temperature dependent Raman spectroscopy are carried out on the prepared sample.

Figure-2(a) show the temperature dependent magnetization (M-T) data of the sample measured in 500 Oe field under zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) protocol. One can clearly see the signatures of magnetic ordering of Gd^{3+} ions at about 2.5 K as shown in the inset of figure-2(a). Figure-2(b) shows the M-H data measured at different temperatures. The data measured at 100 K is linear till the highest field i.e., 14 Tesla, which is typically the case for antiferromagnetic samples. However, a change of curvature i.e., non-linear magnetization response is observed for the M-H data measured at 5 and 2 K. One can understand these observations in terms of magnetic field induced spin re-orientation transition (SRT). In literature, the spin-flop field is taken as the field at which a maximum appears in the derivative of M and H curves as shown in inset of Figure-2(b)[28]. At 5 K the transition seems to occur over a wide range of fields as compared to 2 K and also the transition at 2 K is taking place relatively at lower fields as compared to 5 K data. It is to be noted that spin-flop transition field would depend upon strength of exchange interaction and anisotropy field. The present results can be understood by realizing that at low temperatures (2 K) the magnetic ordering of Gd^{3+} ions essentially amplifies the effect of applied magnetic field on the SRT phenomena. Further, to study the SRT phenomena and AFM-PM transition, temperature and field dependent ^{57}Fe Mössbauer measurements are carried out as discussed below.

Mössbauer spectroscopy gives the information about the magnetic interactions like spin reorientation, spin canting, magnetic ordering, order-disorder transitions etc., unambiguously[15]. In order to investigate the temperature dependence of the iron sublattice magnetization, a systematic study of ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy was carried out in the temperature (5-683 K) range and with external applied magnetic field at low temperatures. Figure-3 show the temperature dependent Mössbauer spectra of the prepared GdFO sample. Mössbauer spectra show six line pattern even at room temperature, which is consistent with the fact that the $T_{N,Fe}$ of GdFO is reported to be around 660 K[7]. The observed Mössbauer data is similar to that of Eibschutz et al[29]., in which ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectra of several orthoferrites is reported. The Mössbauer data covering wide range of temperatures i.e., below and above T_N is fitted by Eibschutz et al., considering only one site as orthoferrites are expected to have only one type crystallographic iron site[29]. The same methodology is used in the present work. The observed Mössbauer spectra along with the fitting using NORMOS-SITE software at specified temperatures are shown in Figure-3. All the spectra up to 573 K are fitted with single six-line pattern. However between 623-673 K the data is well fitted with one sextet and one doublet indicating the co-existence of magnetic ordering and paramagnetic behavior, which might be associated with the critical fluctuations near the transition temperature[29]. At 683 K the Mossbauer spectrum is fitted with only paramagnetic doublet indicating the Nèel transition of Fe-sublattice from antiferromagnetic ordering to paramagnetic ordering. Obtained values of hyperfine parameters like internal magnetic hyperfine field (H_{int}), isomer shift (IS), quadrupole splitting (QS) with the temperature match with the literature[29]. The obtained H_{int} as a function of temperature is plotted in Figure-4.



FIG. 3. Temperature dependent ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectra of $GdFeO_3$. Points are experimental data points and the solid line is the best fit to the data.

For temperatures not sufficiently close to transition temperature, the temperature dependence of H_{int} is given by $H_{int}(\mathrm{T})/H_{int}(T_0) = \mathrm{B}\ t^{\beta}\ [1+\mathrm{A}\ t^{\beta_1}+\mathrm{O}\ t^{2\beta_1}]$, where t=1-T/$T_N$, β is the critical exponent for spontaneous magnetization, A is the correction-to-scaling amplitude and β_1 is the correction-to-scaling exponent[30]. The least square fit of the data as shown in Figure-4, resulted in the estimation of parameters viz., T_N as 672.5±0.2 K and β value of 0.315±0.002, $H_{int}(T_0)$ of 55.1 Tesla and β_1 is fixed at 0.55. The obtained value of T_N matches reasonably with the reported value of GdFO[7]. However, the value of critical exponents are expected to be meaningful and accurate, if they are es-



FIG. 4. Variation of internal hyperfine filed (H_{int}) obtained from temperature dependent ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectra of $GdFeO_3$ as shown in Figure-3. Inset show the plot of H_{int}(T) / $H_{int}(T_0)$ versus 1-T/T_N on a double logarithmic scale. Symbols represent the data and the solid line is the best fit to the data as described in the text.



FIG. 5. ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectra measured at 5 and 100 K under the application of indicated external magnetic field. Points are experimental data points and the solid line is the best fit to the data.

timated from the region close to transition. The temperature dependence of H_{int} in the vicinity of transition temperature[29,31] is often described by the formula $H_{int}(\mathrm{T}) \propto H_{int}(T_0)\ t^{\beta}$. Plot of H_{int}(T) / $H_{int}(T_0)$ versus 1-T/T_N on a double logarithmic scale yields a straight line as shown in the inset of Figure-4. The straight line fit to the data in the region of 1-T/$T_N \leq 0.55$ gives the value of the critical exponent β as 0.333±0.003. The obtained β indicates that the temperature dependence of internal hyperfine fields in GdFO follows the one-third law found in three-dimensional (3D) Heisenberg magnets. It is to be noted that the importance of estimation of critical exponents in multiferroic materials has recently been highlighted[32–34]. Since Mössbauer measurements are basically zero external magnetic field measurements, the obtained value of β is expected to be more realistic as compared to that obtained from bulk magnetization measurements[32–34].

Figure-5 shows the ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectra measured at 5 and 100 K under the application of external magnetic field, to study the field induced SRT phenomena. Mössbauer spectra contain information on the spin direction viz., angle between the Fe^{3+} spins and the gamma-ray beam (θ), which determines the relative intensity of the Δm=0 lines in the magnetic spectrum according to the relation 3: 4 $sin^2\theta/(1 + cos^2\theta)$: 1 in thin absorber limit[15]. It is to be noted that AFM materials with the application of external magnetic field (H_{ext}) display a wide variety of phase transitions in addition to AFM-PM transition at the Nèel temperature. If H_{ext} is applied along the easy axis of magnetization of a uniaxial AFM below Nèel temperature, after a certain critical field depending on the anisotropy of the sample a first-order phase transition occurs and the spins rotate in a direction perpendicular to H_{ext}, which is known as spin-flop transition. With further increase of H_{ext}, the spins tip toward each other and exhibit a second-order phase transition to a phase (spin-parallel) in which the spins are parallel to the easy magnetization axis. The spin-flop transition is characterized by a sextet with an effective field of $\sqrt{(H_{ext}^2 + H_{int}^2)}$ and Δm=0 lines with maximum intensity, whereas the spin-parallel phase is characterized by a sextet with an effective field of $H_{ext} \pm H_{int}$ and zero intensity of Δm=0 lines[17]. Many reports are published in single crystal $RFeO_3$ compounds, in which there exists a well defined angular relation between Fe^{3+} spins and the incident gamma rays, either as a function of temper-



FIG. 6. Variation of intensity of Δm=0 lines i.e., area ratio of second and third lines (A_{23}) and quadrupole splitting (QS) as a function of applied external field at 5 and 100 K as shown in Figure-5. Solid line is guide to eye.

ature or applied magnetic fields exhibiting the variation of intensity of Δm=0 lines taken as a finger print for the SRT phenomena[9].

In the present sample, one can also see that there is a variation in intensity of second and fifth lines of Mössbauer sextet i.e., lines corresponding to Δm=0 transition as a function of applied external field (Figure-5). This feature is often denoted by A_{23} (area ratio of second and third lines) in a given sextet and is expected to be around 2.0 for polycrystalline sample corresponding to random spin orientation in zero applied magnetic field[15]. In view of the noticeable intensity variation of the Δm=0 lines as a function of applied magnetic field, the Mössbauer data of the present study is fitted by keeping A_{23} parameter as free variable and the obtained variation is shown in Figure-6. One can clearly see that A_{23} variation resembles with that of M-H data as shown in figure-2(b). Quadrupole splitting (QS) is the other hyperfine parameter which gives information about SRT. In the magnetically ordered state, the presence of QS is usually treated as a small perturbation on the magnetically split nuclear energy levels given as $-3eQV_{ii}^{H}/4I(2I-1)$, where I=3/2, e is the electron charge, Q is nuclear quadrupole moment, V_{ii}^{H} is the electric field gradient (EFG) component in the direction of quantization of nuclear moment i.e., the direction of H_{int}[15,29]. Therefore, for SRT in which Fe^{3+} spins reorient from one crystallographic axis to another, the QS is expected to change sign. This phenomena is observed in various single crystal $RFeO_3$ samples such as Ho doped $DyFeO_3$[16]. In the present work also as shown in Figure-6, the variation of QS mimics the variation of A_{23} indicating the field induced SRT in polycrystalline GdFO.

Therefore, the non-linear M-H data at 5 K is essentially due to the magnetic field induced SRT phenomena. The critical fields required to induce the SRT phenomena are quite different in polycrystalline GdFO as compared to single crystal GdFO as reported by Durbin et al[9]. For the single crystal GdFO at 4.2 K the magnetic field required to induce SRT is about 1.2 Tesla, whereas magnetic fields of more than about 3 Tesla are required to induce SRT at 5 K as shown in the present work. Also the SRT with field at a given temperature is found to be broad as evident from dM/dH curves shown in Figure-2(b). One can understand these features in terms of the polycrystalline nature of the sample as H_{ext} is differently oriented relative to crystal axes of different small single crystalline particles in polycrystals. In the case of single crystal AFM, the H_{ext} is expected to be perfectly aligned with the easy anisotropy axis and hence resulting in a sharp SRT at a critical field H_{SF} approximately given by $\sqrt{2H_EH_A}$, where H_E and H_A are the exchange energy and anisotropy energy respectively. However, for polycrystalline samples one expects the angle (ϕ) between the H_{ext} and the easy axis is evenly distributed between 0 and π, there would be a distribution of H_{SF} resulting in a broad transition[18,19]. Another consequence of polycrystalline nature is the different value of critical field for SRT as compared to single crystals. Morup suggested that critical field for the spin-flop transition (H_{SF}) will be enhanced for antiferromagnetic microcrystals due to the presence of uncompensated moments[35]. Recently, Kumar et al., studied the spin-flop transition in polycrystalline AFM samples considering an isotropic distribution of ϕ values and concluded that the polycrystalline sample has a range of spin-flop transitions at H_{ext} cos$\phi = H_{SF}$, which explains the higher values of H_{ext} required for spin-flop as compared to single crystal AFM samples[36].



FIG. 7. Raman spectrum of polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$ measured at the indicated temperatures.



FIG. 8. Enlarged view of Raman data around 625cm^{-1} at higher temperatures.

Further, in order to study the behavior of phonons across the antiferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition (i.e., $T_{N,Fe}$) temperature dependent (300 - 760 K) Raman measurements are carried out and the data is shown in Figure-7. With increasing temperature, almost all the modes are found to shift to lower wave-number side and



FIG. 9. Fitting of the Raman modes at representative temperatures. The vertical lines are guide to eye. Obtained parameters viz., mode position, width, mode separation and area ratio as a function of temperature are plotted in Figure-10, 11 and 12.

becoming broad consistent with thermal expansion. It may appear that some of the modes with weak intensity are disappearing at higher temperatures, but essentially with increasing temperature the width is increasing and are merging with the background. For example as shown in Figure-8, the mode at 625 cm^{-1}, which corresponds to in-phase stretching vibrational mode of FeO_6, is found to exist even at highest temperature studied. Therefore, one can mention from Figure-7 that the overall Raman spectral signature is maintained across $T_{N,Fe}$, which is consistent with the fact that the AFM to PM transition is not accompanied by any structural phase transition. $RFeO_3$ compounds undergo a structural transformation of orthorhombic - rhombohedral -cubic at much higher temperatures as compared to $T_{N,Fe}$[37–40]. For example $LaFeO_3$ with $T_{N,Fe}$ of about 735 K undergoes the orthorhombic - rhombohedral transition at 1228 K and the rhombohedral -cubic transition is expected about 2140 K[38–40].

However, a closer inspection of the temperature dependent Raman spectra reveals interesting spectral changes as a function of temperature and especially in the vicinity of $T_{N,Fe}$. The evolution of the Raman spectra with temperature in the region of interest is de-convoluted into different peaks as shown in Figure-9. From this fitting, the obtained position and width of the selected Raman



FIG. 10. Temperature variation of position and width of different Raman modes as indicated in Figure-9. Symbols are the data points and the solid line is the best fit to the data considering the anharmonic model given by Balkanski et al[42]. Vertical dotted line is to show the Nèel temperature ($T_{N,Fe}$). Inset is the enlarged view near $T_{N,Fe}$.

modes is shown in Figure-10. It is observed that the magnitude of shift is different for different modes. For example, the mode at about 480 cm^{-1} show large shifts (of the order of about 10 cm^{-1}) from above $T_{N,Fe}$ to room temperature as compared to other modes. The mode at about 140 cm^{-1} show a shift of about only 3 cm^{-1}. One can understand this by realizing that in $RFeO_3$ compounds, it is reported that rare-earth atom vibrations play a dominant role in determining the phonon frequencies below 200 cm^{-1}, the transition metal ions play dominant role above 350-400 cm^{-1} and vibrations involving rare-earth and oxygen contribute in the intermediate region[22,41]. With temperature change across $T_{N,Fe}$, there can be either some volume change or contribution of spin energy to the magnetic ion (Fe^{3+}) displacement resulting in large shifts[41].



FIG. 11. Separation of Raman modes as a function of temperature for (a) P1 and P2 (b) P3 and P10 of Figure-9. Symbols are data points and the solid line is the linear fit.

The behavior of the phonon position and phonon linewidth with temperature follows the anharmonic model given by Balkanski[42]. The equation Γ (T) = A [1+



FIG. 12. Area ratio of different Raman modes as a function of temperature. P denotes the peak number as shown in Figure-9. Vertical dotted line is to show the Nèel temperature ($T_{N,Fe}$).

$\frac{2}{e^x-1}$] +B [1+ $\frac{3}{e^y-1}$ + $\frac{3}{(e^y-1)^2}$], where x=$\hbar\omega_0/2k_B$T, y=$\hbar\omega_0/3k_B$T, ω_0, A and B are fitting parameters, which takes into account of the four phonon process is considered for fitting the obtained temperature variation of mode position and width as shown in Figure-10. One can see that the data is fitted well by this model. However, for some of the modes anomaly in mode width and also subtle changes in mode position are observed across $T_{N,Fe}$. As phonon life time (or lattice relaxation time) and linewidth obey the uncertainty relation between time and energy so this anomaly near $T_{N,Fe}$ in the width of 140 cm^{-1} can be regarded as spin-lattice coupling. Similarly anomaly near $T_{N,Fe}$ in the phonon position of 140 cm^{-1} can be regarded as spin-phonon coupling. As mentioned above, modes at lower wave-number (140 cm^{-1}) corresponds to the movement of Gd^{3+} ions. Therefore, it is interesting to note that the correlation between the Gd^{3+} ions and the Fe^{3+} spin ordering exists even up to $T_{N,Fe}$. This may throw some light on the controversy whether it is the rare-earth magnetic ordering (which takes place at temperatures below 5 K) or the weak ferromagnetic state of Fe^{3+} (which takes place below $T_{N,Fe}$) ions play important role in stabilizing the ferroelectric ordering in these type of compounds.

Another interesting observation from the temperature dependent Raman data is that, one can clearly see the systematic change in the intensity of modes and also the separation of modes with temperature (Figure-9). Figure-11 shows the the separation of modes at about 140, 160 cm^{-1} (denoted as P1 and P2 in Figure-9) and at about 250, 459 cm^{-1} (denoted as P3 and P10 in Figure-9) as a function of temperature. With increasing temperature, for both the cases the separation is found to decrease indicating the shrinking of the spectra. This is essentially due to the fact that some of the modes are shifting at a much faster rate with temperature as compared to the remaining indicating anisotropic nature of the force constants between the atoms. Also the area ratio of different modes as shown in Figure-12 exhibits an anomalous behavior close to $T_{N,Fe}$. It is to be noted that the area of a Raman mode apart from its position and width is considered to be an effective parameter to study the vibrational dynamics in a given material. Therefore, the observed anomalous variation of area ratio of different Raman modes in the present work unambiguously indicate the presence of spin-lattice coupling in polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$. In addition to this, as the observed Raman modes correspond to vibrational motion of the rare-earth and iron atoms in different directions, the observed anomalous variation close to $T_{N,Fe}$ highlight the role of magnetic ordering in inducing local structural rearrangement which might be responsible for the observation of ferroelectric and hence multiferroic nature in these type of compounds. Recently Selbach et al., reported that there is an anisotropic thermal expansion of lattice parameters in $LaFeO_3$ even across $T_{N,Fe}$ and proposed that magneto-striction could be the reason[38]. Detailed temperature dependent x-ray diffraction and fine structure measurements might help in corroborating the present Raman observations of polycrystalline GdFO.

In conclusion, the Mössbauer spectra measured in the presence of external magnetic field show the signatures of field induced spin reorientation transition in polycrystalline $GdFeO_3$, which are corroborated by magnetization measurements. From the temperature dependent variation of internal hyperfine field, Nèel transition temperature (T_N) of 672.5±0.2 K and critical exponent (β) of 0.333±0.003 is obtained. Temperature dependent (300 - 760 K) Raman spectroscopy measurements show the signatures of spin-phonon coupling and local structural re-arrangement across $T_{N,Fe}$.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are due to Dr.M.Gupta and Mr.L.Behra for XRD; Dr.Alok Banerjee and Mr.Kranti Kumar for magnetization measurements .

[1]W. Prellie, M. P. Singh, and P.Murugavel. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 17:R803, 2005.
[2]S. W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy. *Nature Mater.*, 6:13, 2007.
[3]R. Ramesh and N.A. Spaldin. *Nature Mater.*, 6:21, 2007.
[4]C. N. R. Rao, A. Sundaresan, and Rana Saha. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 3:2237, 2012.
[5]R. L. White. *J. Appl. Phys.*, 40:1061, 1969.
[6]D. Treves. *Phys. Rev.*, 125:1843, 1962.
[7]Zhiqiang Zhou, Li Guo, Haixia Yang, Qiang Liu, and Feng Ye. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds.*, 583:21, 2014.
[8]Y. Tokunaga, N. Furukawa, H. Sakai, Y. Taguchi, Taka hisa Arima, and Y.Tokura. *Nature Materials*, 8:558, 2009.
[9]G W Durbin, C E Johnson, and M F Thomas. *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.*, 10:1975, 1977.
[10]Y.Tokunaga, S. Iguchi, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 101:097205, 2008.
[11]B. Rajeswaran, D. Sanyal, M. Chakrabarti, Y. Sundarayya, A. Sundaresan, and C. N. R. Rao. *Europhys. Lett.*, 101:17001, 2013.
[12]Jung-Hoon Lee, Young Kyu Jeong, Jung Hwan Park, Min-Ae Oak, Hyun Myung Jang, Jong Yeog Son, and James F. Scott. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107:117201, 2011.

[13]R. D. Johnson, N. Terada, and P. G. Radaelli. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108:219701, 2012.
[14]C.-Y. Kuo, Y. Drees, M. T. Fernandez-Diaz, L. Zhao, L. Vasylechko, D. Sheptyakov, A. M. T. Bell, T.W. Pi, H.-J. Lin, M.-K. Wu, E. Pellegrin, S. M. Valvidares, Z.W. Li, P. Adler, A. Todorova, R. Kchler, A. Steppke, L. H. Tjeng, Z. Hu, and A. C. Komarek. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113:217203, 2014.
[15]Greenwood N N and Gibb T C. *Mossbauer Spectroscopy*. London: Chapman and Hall, 1971.
[16]O.Nikolov, I. Hall, and K. W. Godfrey. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 7:4949, 1995.
[17]J. Chappert. *J. Phys. Colloques*, 35:C6–71, 1974.
[18]Q. A. Pankhurst and R. J. Pollard. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2:7329, 1990.
[19]Q. A. Pankhurst. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 3:1323, 1991.
[20]W. S. Ferreira, J. Agostinho Moreira, A. Almeida, M. R. Chaves, J. P. Arajo, J. B. Oliveira, J. M. Machado Da Silva, M. A. S, T. M. Mendonca, P. Simeao Carvalho, J. Kreisel, J. L. Ribeiro, L. G. Vieira, P. B. Tavares, and S. Mendona. *Phys. Rev. B*, 79: 054303, 2009.
[21]Mandal P, Bhadram V. S, Sundarayya Y, Narayana C, Sundaresan A, and Rao C. N. R. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107:137202, 2011.
[22]V. S. Bhadram, B. Rajeswaran, A. Sundaresan, and C. Narayana. *Europhysics Letters*, 101:17008, 2013.
[23]Rodriguez-Carvajal J. *Physica B*, 192:55, 1993.
[24]S. Venugopalan, M. Dutta, A. K. Ramdas, and J. P. Remeika. *Phys. Rev. B.*, 31:1490, 1985.
[25]H. C. Gupta and P. Ashdhir. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 146:287, 1999.
[26]Y. Du, Z. X. Cheng, X. L. Wang, and S. X. Dou. *J.Appl. Phys.*, 107:09D908, 2010.
[27]R. J. Wiglusz, K. Kordek, M. Matecka, A. Ciupa, M. Ptak, R. Pazik, P. Pohl, and D. Kaczorowski. *Dalton Trans.*, 44:20067, 2015.
[28]R. D. Zysler, D. Fiorani, A. M. Testa, L. Suber, E. Agostinelli, and M. Godinho. *Phys. Rev. B.*, 68:212408, 2003.
[29]M. Eibschutz, S. Shtrikman, and D. Treves. *Phys. Rev.*, 156:562, 1967.
[30]A. Simopoulos, M. Pissas, G. Kallias, E. Devlin, N. Moutis, I. Panagiotopoulos, D. Niarchos, C. Christides, and R. Sonntag. *Phys. Rev. B.*, 59:1263, 1999.
[31]C. Blaauw and F. van der Woude. *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.*, 6:1422, 1973.
[32]J. F. Scott. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.*, 23:022202, 2011.
[33]M. Poirier and F. Laliberte. *Phys. Rev. B.*, 76:174426, 2007.
[34]Kavita Sharma, V. Raghavendra Reddy, Ajay Gupta, S D Kaushik, and V Siruguri. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.*, 24:376001, 2012.
[35]S. Morup. *Surf. Sci.*, 156:888, 1985.
[36]M. Kumar, S. E. Dutton, R. J. Cava, and Z G Soos. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 25:136004, 2013.
[37]W. C. Koehler, E. O. Wollan, and M. K. Wilkinson. *Phys. Rev.*, 118:58, 1960.
[38]S. M. Selbach, J. R. Tolchard, Anita Fossdal, and Tor Grande. *J. Solid State Chem.*, 196:249, 2012.
[39]Anita Fossdal, Mohan Menon, Ivar Waernhus, Kjell Wiik, M. A. Einarsrud, and Tor Grande. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 87:1952, 2004.
[40]S. Geller and P. M. Raccah. *Phys. Rev. B.*, 2:1167, 1970.
[41]M. Udagawa, K. Kohn, N. Koshizuka, T. Tsushima, and K. Tsushima. *Solid State Commun.*, 16:779, 1975.
[42]M. Balkanski, R.F. Wallis, and E. Haro. *Phys. Rev. B.*, 28:1928, 1983.